Barr K. Reframing Dermatologic Disease Through Trauma, Attachment, and Autonomic Science: An Integrated Biopsychosocial Framework for Clinical Practice. *Journal of Integrative Dermatology*. Published online 2026.

Perspective, Opinion, Commentary

Reframing Dermatologic Disease Through Trauma, Attachment, and Autonomic Science: An Integrated Biopsychosocial Framework for Clinical Practice

Keira Barr, MD[1]

[1] Center for Mind Skin Medicine

Keywords: Psychodermatology, Neuroimmunoendocrine skin, Autonomic dysregulation, Adverse childhood experiences, Attachment and skin, Stress physiology, Neurogenic inflammation, Somatic Skincare™ Method, Mind–skin connection, Integrative dermatology, polyvagal theory

Journal of Integrative Dermatology

Chronic skin disease is commonly explained through immune dysregulation, barrier impairment, and genetic risk, yet growing evidence indicates that stress physiology, early adversity, and relational experience also shape dermatologic vulnerability and symptom expression. Research from neuroscience, psychoneuroimmunology, trauma studies, and attachment science demonstrates that autonomic regulation and early relational environments influence inflammatory responses, sensory processing, and the chronicity of multiple skin conditions.

Because the skin and nervous system share an ectodermal origin, they maintain continuous bidirectional communication across the lifespan. Nervous system signals regulate cutaneous inflammation, barrier repair, pruritus, and nociception, while the skin functions as a neuroimmunoendocrine organ capable of producing and responding to stress mediators. These pathways contribute to "skin memory," reflected in autonomic tone, inflammatory thresholds, and stress-reactive sensory profiles. Consequently, cutaneous responses represent systemic nervous system patterns rather than isolated local events.

This Perspective introduces the Somatic Skincare™ Method as a clinical framework integrating autonomic regulation, relational safety, and affective touch with conventional dermatologic care. Trauma-informed, nervous system–aware approaches may reduce shame, enhance coping strategies, and improve symptoms not fully addressed by medical therapy alone.

This synthesis reframes dermatologic disease as a biologically and relationally embedded process and proposes an expanded model for assessment, patient education, and therapeutic intervention within integrative dermatology.

For individuals with chronic skin conditions, disease extends beyond visible lesions. Patients describe bracing before mirrors, withdrawing from touch, or feeling unable to control what their skin broadcasts. While often viewed as emotional sequelae, these responses are deeply intertwined with disease onset, persistence, and impact. Recent surveys show 98% of dermatology patients report psychological burden, and 88% report embarrassment or shame, responses shaping identity and quality of life in ways eclipsing the skin findings themselves.[1,2]

These experiences actively participate in disease trajectory. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), chronic stress, and relational disruption link to increased incidence, severity, and chronicity across skin conditions including psoriasis,[3] atopic dermatitis,[4,5] alopecia areata,[6] hidradenitis suppurativa,[7] chronic urticaria,[8] vitiligo,[9,10] skin cancer,[11-13] and psychogenic pruritus.[14] This reflects biological reality: the nervous system, particularly its capacity to detect safety or danger, meaningfully influences how skin responds, repairs, and reacts.[15,16]

Both arising from the ectoderm, skin and nervous system maintain lifelong, bidirectional communication. The skin functions as a neuroimmunoendocrine organ synthesizing neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, hormones, and inflammatory mediators that influence and respond to stress pathways. Through the cutaneous HPA axis, skin acts as both threat sensor and stress mediator generator, mirroring central stress responses peripherally. These discoveries position skin within the N.I.C.E. network—the coordinated nervous, immune, cutaneous, and endocrine systems determining how bodies respond to stress, safety, and relational cues.[17,18]

Within this framework, dermatologic symptoms represent not only immune dysregulation or barrier impairment but expressions of learned protective strategies. Richly innervated and densely populated with neuroimmune mediators, skin communicates internal states before conscious awareness. A trauma-informed, nervous-system–aware lens offers physiologic rationale for these experiences rather than dismissing them as metaphor.

THE BIOLOGICAL IMPRINT OF EARLY ADVERSITY

ACEs, early relational adversity, and traumatic stress exert enduring effects on dermatologic vulnerability. Childhood adversity correlates with higher rates of inflammatory, autoimmune, and chronic skin disorders, plus greater psychosocial burden.[19] Associations span multiple conditions, establishing trauma as a biologically embedded determinant of cutaneous expression.

In psoriasis, ACEs correlate with heightened severity, inflammatory cytokine activity, and frequent exacerbations.[3] Atopic dermatitis studies show emotional stress and childhood adversity impair barrier function and heighten pruritus.[4,5] Alopecia areata patients with trauma histories exhibit altered cortisol rhythms and reduced stress-recovery capacity.[6] Within hidradenitis suppurativa, emotional neglect and ACEs occur at significantly elevated rates, associated with intensified pain and shame.[7] Similar patterns emerge in chronic urticaria and vitiligo.[8-10]

Childhood trauma sensitizes the HPA axis, producing heightened reactivity, altered cortisol secretion, and diminished stress recovery. This weakens barrier integrity and amplifies sensory responsiveness. Trauma produces persistent autonomic shifts, lowering thresholds for stress-driven immune activation and promoting Th1/Th17 polarization, mast cell degranulation, neurogenic inflammation, and microbial imbalance.[15,16,19-23]

These biological changes mirror patient narratives. Those with neglect or abuse histories frequently describe skin reactivity during relational or emotional strain. Flares arise from subtle interpersonal stressors; symptoms feel amplified and recovery is prolonged. Skin functions as a shield and signal, expressing stress layers inaccessible through words. Understanding adversity's imprint provides essential context for heightened reactivity or persistent flares despite optimized medical therapy.

ATTACHMENT, SKIN MEMORY, AND RELATIONAL FOUNDATIONS

If adverse experiences shape biological vulnerability, attachment experiences shape how bodies interpret the world, including at the skin's surface. Early relational patterns leave enduring physiological imprints influencing emotional regulation, stress responsivity, and cutaneous reactivity.[24,25]

From birth, skin functions as the infant's primary safety interface. Through gentle touch, attuned gaze, vocal prosody, and caregiver responsiveness, infants learn whether their internal states will be met with support or misattunement—caregiver responses that are consistently inconsistent, emotionally absent, or incongruent with the child's needs. These experiences calibrate developing nervous, immune, and endocrine systems, shaping relational safety: the embodied sense that one can be physically close to others, experience sensations, and express emotions without fear of rejection or harm.[26]

When caregiving is inconsistent, shaming, intrusive, or withdrawn, different patterns encode. Rather than learning the body is secure, children learn to brace, constrict, monitor, or hide. These protective adaptations may manifest as vigilance, avoidance, self-consciousness, or difficulty tolerating visibility—patterns that influence symptom perception, flare response, and the lived experience of visibility inherent in dermatologic disease.[27,28]

These patterns form "*skin memory*": the implicit encoding of early relational experiences within autonomic tone, neuroendocrine responsivity, and inflammatory thresholds, that shapes how the body anticipates and responds to perceived safety or threat.[26,29-31] This framework explains why dermatologic symptoms may intensify during interpersonal stress or emotional vulnerability even in the absence of identifiable triggers.

Attachment research provides biological evidence. Adults with insecure attachment show slower barrier recovery after standardized disruption, indicating relational safety directly influences repair.[25] Infants receiving consistent, soothing touch develop regulated stress responses, healthier immune function, and stronger autonomic flexibility, whereas those lacking such touch show heightened inflammatory reactivity.[24,27] These patterns mirror patient reports of skin calming when feeling understood or flaring when feeling judged—not psychological effects, but biological expressions of early relational learning.

Attachment patterns shape symptom-coping strategies. Anxious attachment correlates with frequent mirror-checking, reassurance-seeking, or skin picking. Avoidant attachment correlates with symptom concealment or avoiding care. Disorganized attachment produces urgency and withdrawal.[32,33] Behaviors often labeled as nonadherence actually reflect learned survival strategies. Recognizing attachment and skin memory provides a compassionate lens for understanding dermatologic distress.

POLYVAGAL THEORY AND AUTONOMIC INFLUENCE ON SKIN

Polyvagal Theory describes how the autonomic nervous system organizes behavior, sensation, and physiologic regulation in response to cues of safety or danger. Dr. Stephen Porges delineated three autonomic pathways: ventral vagal (safety andsocial engagement), sympathetic (mobilization, and dorsal vagal shutdown). These pathways are organized hierarchically and shaped through neuroception—the nervous system's rapid, nononscious evaluation of risk or safety.[33]

Within dermatology, this framework clarifies clinical patterns difficult to interpret through biomedical models alone. When neuroception detects a threat—from external cues, internal sensations, or earlier experience reminders—sympathetic activation increases vigilance, arousal, and inflammatory signaling.[33] This links to heightened pruritus, amplified neurogenic inflammation through substance P and CGRP-mediated mast-cell pathways, and increased flushing, sweating, and vasodilation.[15-17] Patients describe feeling "on edge," or "itchy from the inside

out," engaging in checking, scratching, or reassurance-seeking. These represent biologically driven responses, not conscious overreaction.

When danger feels overwhelming or inescapable, systems shift to dorsal vagal shutdown: reduced energy, numbness, withdrawal, or collapse. Clinically, this manifests as care disengagement, reduced motivation, or delayed wound healing. These behaviors resemble apathy but represent conservation responses when action no longer feels possible.

Conversely, ventral vagal engagement reflects safety biology, enabling curiosity, connection, flexible emotional responses, and tissue repair. Central to this system is the vagus nerve itself, termed the 'wandering nerve' for its expansive reach. Composed of 80% afferent fibers (organ-to-brain signaling) and 20% efferent fibers (brain-to-organ signaling), it originates in the brainstem and extends through neck and thorax, innervating heart, lungs, liver, and colon, with descending fibers influencing cardiac pacemaker structures.[34]

The vagus nerve facilitates communication between nervous and immune systems. It modulates inflammation via two pathways: the HPA axis mediating cortisol release and systemic anti-inflammatory effects, and the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway releasing acetylcholine to suppress cytokine production.[34] This dual role positions the vagus nerve as a therapeutic target whose capacity to regulate inflammation and promote physiological balance holds profound implications for dermatologic care.

Regulated autonomic states are associated with improved epidermal barrier recovery, reduced inflammatory burden, and stable itch and pain thresholds.[15-17] Patients describe skin feeling calmer, flares resolving more effectively, and responding to symptoms with steadiness rather than fear.

Polyvagal Theory illuminates why social visibility impacts patients with visible disease so profoundly. Being seen can automatically trigger danger neuroception, particularly when early attachment experiences link visibility with criticism or shame.[35] This autonomic shift intensifies flushing, itching, or dissociation, amplifying psychosocial burden. These autonomic patterns are not conscious choices but reflect developmentally shaped neural pathways. Trauma and attachment disruption lower thresholds for sympathetic activation and dorsal collapse, contributing to chronic inflammatory skin disease and explaining symptom persistence despite optimized medical treatment.[33]

Behaviors labeled nonadherence, avoidance, reassurance-seeking, perfectionism, or concealment are more accurately understood as adaptive survival responses shaped by neuroception, not personality flaws or failures of discipline. This reframing reduces stigma, strengthens therapeutic alliance, and clarifies why medical interventions alone may not fully stabilize symptoms.

INTEGRATING TRAUMA-INFORMED SOMATIC CARE INTO DERMATOLOGIC PRACTICE

This trauma-informed intervention, formalized as the Somatic Skincare™ Method, emerged from my clinical observation of persistent reactivity, shame, and distress despite optimized medical treatment—patterns becoming coherent through combined lenses of trauma science, attachment theory, interpersonal neurobiology, polyvagal theory, and affective touch research.

The approach reframes skin care from corrective routine to relational and regulatory process, addressing how nervous systems perceive safety, interpret sensory signals, and how individuals relate to their skin. Research demonstrates safety cues modulate inflammation, barrier repair, pain thresholds, and immune signaling through neuroendocrine and neuroimmune pathways.[32,33,35]

A key mechanism involves C-tactile (CT) afferent fibers responding to gentle, slow, skin-temperature touch and projecting to the posterior insula, essential for interoception and emotional regulation.[35,36] CT-mediated pathways reduce sympathetic arousal and support physiologic conditions for cutaneous healing. Critically, keratinocytes locally produce oxytocin, and touch triggers its release. Oxytocin reduces HPA-axis reactivity, improves immune modulation, and supports tissue repair, whereas vasopressin promotes vigilance and defensive states observed in chronic skin disease.[26,37] This dual pathway—CT afferents signaling the insula and touch-triggered local oxytocin release counterbalancing vasopressin—explains why attuned self-touch and relational regulation practices can shift emotional states and diminish symptom intensity.

The framework complements dermatologic therapy by addressing factors influencing chronicity, symptom perception, and adherence. Supporting autonomic regulation may reduce inflammatory load, improve flare recovery, decrease compulsive behaviors like picking, and strengthen tolerance for visibility and intimacy. Therapeutic action can be summarized in three pillars: restoring safety cues to shift neuroception from threat to regulation; rebuilding embodied, compassionate skin relationships to reduce shame; and engaging body-based regulatory practices to enhance autonomic flexibility and natural vagus nerve activation.

An IRB-approved pilot study evaluated this eight-week trauma-informed intervention for hidradenitis suppurativa patients. Weekly two-hour sessions integrated breathwork, gentle movement, interoceptive awareness, guided imagery, expressive arts, and self-applied touch alongside didactic education, experiential practices, and reflective dialogue. Between-session practices reinforced skill development. Unlike traditional mindfulness programs, this model centers body-based safety cues, shame reduction, and relational connection—salient in HS due to pain, intimacy challenges, and social isolation. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Visual Analog Scale (VAS) pain scores were collected at baseline, week four, and week eight. Despite small sample size, findings suggested meaningful shifts: two of three participants demonstrated DLQI improvements; all reported increased feelings of safety, re-

duced anxiety, and notable shame reductions.[38] These subjective changes align with established neurobiological pathways showing autonomic flexibility influences pain perception, neurogenic inflammation, and immune balance.[33,35]

IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Evidence across neuroscience, psychodermatology, trauma research, attachment theory, and autonomic science demonstrates skin cannot be separated from the nervous system, relational system, or emotional body. Dermatologic symptoms emerge from the convergence of these domains, yet current care models traditionally address them in isolation. When viewed through integrated lenses—recognizing autonomic state and lived relational history as inseparable from cutaneous biology—clinical presentations become more coherent and predictable.

Integrating polyvagal-informed, trauma-aware perspectives into dermatology offers a pathway to close this gap. It invites clinicians to attend not only to inflammation, immunity, and barrier integrity but also to nervous system states regulating these processes, to attachment patterns shaping symptom coping, and to relational contexts in which dermatologic care occurs. Such integration aligns with the field's movement toward whole-person care and provides tools for addressing suffering that medication alone cannot resolve.

The Somatic Skincare™ Method offers one pathway for operationalizing this integrated understanding. By reframing symptoms as adaptive protection and incorporating somatic regulation and nervous system science alongside medical treatment, this approach expands the therapeutic landscape. It supports shame reduction, improves coping capacity, enhances self-efficacy, and fosters autonomic states more conducive to repair.

When clinicians recognize how neuroception, attachment history, autonomic patterning, and relational context influence inflammatory signaling and symptom perception, a fuller picture emerges—one both biologically grounded and profoundly human.

As dermatology evolves, integrating nervous system-informed and trauma-aware approaches offers a promising frontier. When we treat both the skin and the system beneath it, we reduce shame, expand agency, and help patients move toward safety and home within their own skin.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Dr. Barr reports no financial conflicts or commercial interests related to this manuscript. I use the Somatic Skincare™ Method described in this Perspective within my clinical and educational practice. This method is not a commercial product, and no external entity has influenced the content of this manuscript. No other conflicts of interest are declared.

FUNDING

No external funding was received for the development of this manuscript.

Submitted: December 11, 2025 PDT. Accepted: January 29, 2026 PDT.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC0). View this license's legal deed at https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0 and legal code at https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode for more information.

REFERENCES

1. All-Party Parliamentary Group on Skin. *Mental Health and Skin Disease*.; 2020.

2. Gisondi P, Puig L, Richard MA, et al. Quality of life and stigmatization in people with skin diseases in Europe: A large survey from the "burden of skin diseases" EADV project. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2023;37(Suppl 7):6-14. doi:10.1111/jdv.18917

3. Wintermann GB, Bierling AL, Peters EMJ, Abraham S, Beissert S, Weidner K. Childhood Trauma and Psychosocial Stress Affect Treatment Outcome in Patients With Psoriasis Starting a New Treatment Episode. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022;13:848708. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.848708. PMID:35546938

4. McKenzie C, Silverberg JI. Association of Adverse Childhood Experiences With Childhood Atopic Dermatitis in the United States. *Dermatitis*. 2020;31(2):147-152. doi:10.1097/DER.0000000000000550

5. Nash K, Minhas S, Metheny N, et al. Association between childhood maltreatment and atopy in the UK: A population based retrospective cohort study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2022;53:101730. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101730. PMID:36467451

6. Willemsen R, Vanderlinden J, Roseeuw D, Haentjens P. Increased history of childhood and lifetime traumatic events among adults with alopecia areata. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2009;60(3):388-393. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2008.09.049

7. Gielen S, Janmohamed SR, Van Laethem A, et al. Hidradenitis suppurativa is associated with childhood and lifetime traumatic events: a case-control study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2020;34(12):2877-2883. doi:10.1111/jdv.16828

8. Cansel N, Turkmen D, Altunisik N. The role of childhood trauma in patients with chronic urticaria. *North Clin Istanb*. 2023;10(1):79-86.

9. Papadopoulos L, Bor R, Legg C, Hawk JL. Impact of life events on the onset of vitiligo in adults: preliminary evidence for a psychological dimension in aetiology. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 1998;23(6):243-248. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2230.1998.00384.x

10. Farajzadeh S, Khalili M, Mirmohammadkhani M, Paknazar F, Rastegarnasab F, Abtahi-Naeini B. Global clinicoepidemiological pattern of childhood vitiligo: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Paediatrics Open*. 2023;7:e001839. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2022-001839. PMID:37616066

11. Shidlo N, Lazarov A, Benyamini Y. Stressful life events and the occurrence of skin cancer. *Psychooncology*. 2024;33(5):e6343. doi:10.1002/pon.6343

12. Montgomery BK, Zheng A, Erickson KL, Bordeaux JS. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) association with Melanoma. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2024;316(7):381. doi:10.1007/s00403-024-03150-6

13. Fagundes CP, Glaser R, Johnson SL, et al. Basal cell carcinoma: stressful life events and the tumor environment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(6):618-626. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.1535. PMID:22664550

14. Yalçin M, Tellioğlu E, Yildirim DU, Savrun BM, Özmen M, Aydemir EH. Psychiatric Features in Neurotic Excoriation Patients: The Role of Childhood Trauma. *Noro Psikiyatr Ars*. 2015;52(4):336-341. doi:10.5152/npa.2015.9902. PMID:28360736

15. Sadur A, Barr K, Curbelo-Paz A, et al. Unraveling the Skin-Stress Connection: The Impact of Chronic Stress and Childhood Trauma on Dermatologic Conditions. *SKINmed*.

16. Baumeister D, Akhtar R, Ciufolini S, et al. Childhood trauma and adulthood inflammation: a meta-analysis of peripheral C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21:642-649. doi:10.1038/mp.2015.67. PMID:26033244

17. Arck PC, Slominski A, Theoharides TC, Peters EMJ, Paus R. Neuroimmunology of stress: Skin takes center stage. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2006;126(8):1697-1704. doi:10.1038/sj.jid.5700104. PMID:16845409

18. Brazzini B, Ghersetich I, Hercogova J, Lotti T. The neuro-immuno-cutaneous-endocrine network: Relationship between mind and skin. *Dermatol Ther*. 2003;16(2):123-131. doi:10.1046/j.1529-8019.2003.01621.x

19. Andersen SL. Neuroinflammation, Early-Life Adversity, and Brain Development. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2022;30(1):24-39. doi:10.1097/HRP.0000000000000325. PMID:34995033

20. Schmidt D, Reber SO, Botteron C, et al. Chronic psychosocial stress promotes systemic immune activation and the development of inflammatory Th cell responses. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2010;24(7):1097-1104. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2010.04.014

21. Marsland AL, Jones E, Reed RG, et al. Childhood trauma and hair cortisol response over the year following onset of a chronic life event stressor. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2024;165:107039. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2024.107039. PMID:38581748

22. Garg A, Chren MM, Sands LP, et al. Psychological stress perturbs epidermal permeability barrier homeostasis: Implications for the pathogenesis of stress-associated skin disorders. *Arch Dermatol*. 2001;137(1):53-59. doi:10.1001/archderm.137.1.53

23. Beurel E, Nemeroff CB. Early Life Adversity, Microbiome, and Inflammatory Responses. *Biomolecules*. 2024;14(7):802. doi:10.3390/biom14070802. PMID:39062516

24. Soon K, Shipton A, Wray J, Butler S. Attachment style and children and young people with chronic dermatological conditions. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2024;50(1):e13152. doi:10.1111/cch.13152

25. Robles TF, Brooks KP, Kane HS, Schetter CD. Attachment, skin deep? Relationships between adult attachment and skin barrier recovery. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2013;88(3):241-252. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2012.04.007. PMID:22546664

26. Feldman R. The Neurobiology of Human Attachments. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2017;21(2):80-99. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2016.11.007

27. Lyons-Ruth K, Block DP. The disturbed caregiving system: Relations among childhood trauma, maternal caregiving, and infant affect and attachment. *Tradition*. 1996;17:257-275. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0355(199623)17:3

28. Doyle C, Cicchetti D. From the Cradle to the Grave: The Effect of Adverse Caregiving Environments on Attachment and Relationships Throughout the Lifespan. *Clin Psychol (New York)*. 2017;24(2):203-217. doi:10.1111/cpsp.12192. PMID:28924334

29. Van der Kolk BA. The body keeps the score: memory and the evolving psychobiology of posttraumatic stress. *Harvard Rev Psychiatry*. 1994;1(5):253-265. doi:10.3109/10673229409017088

30. Lafrance M. From the skin ego to the psychic envelope: An introduction to the work of Didier Anzieu. In: Cavanagh SL, Failler A, Hurst RAJ, eds. *Skin, Culture and Psychoanalysis*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. doi:10.1057/9781137300041_2

31. Schore AN. Effects of a secure attachment relationship on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Ment Health J*. Published online 2001. doi:10.1002/1097-0355(200101/04)22:1

32. Isibor M. Emotion regulation as a mediator of the association between attachment security and skin-picking disorder symptom severity. *Attachment: New Directions in Psychotherapy and Relational Psychoanalysis*. 2025;19(1):54-69. doi:10.33212/att.v19n1.2025.54

33. Porges SW. Polyvagal Theory: A Science of Safety. *Front Integr Neurosci*. 2022;16:871227. doi:10.3389/fnint.2022.871227. PMID:35645742

34. McLaughlin KA, Alves S, Sheridan MA. Vagal regulation and internalizing psychopathology among adolescents exposed to childhood adversity. *Dev Psychobiol*. 2014;56(5):1036-1051. doi:10.1002/dev.21187. PMID:24338154

35. Kłosowska J, Żegleń M, Badzińska J, Prochwicz K. Interoception abnormalities and skin-picking disorder: Systematic review and narrative synthesis of preliminary evidence. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. Published online 2025:106349. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2025.106349

36. McGlone F, Wessberg J, Olausson H. Discriminative and affective touch: Sensing and feeling. *Neuron*. 2014;82(4):737-755. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.001

37. Carter CS. Oxytocin pathways and the evolution of human behavior. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2014;65:17-39. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115110

38. Barr K. The Skin as an Attachment Organ: A Polyvagal Approach to Restoring, Safety, Connection, and Confidence Through Somatic Skincare™. Poster presented at: 4th Annual PolyVagal Institute Gathering; October 30, 2025; Amelia Island, FL.